ORIGINAL ARTICLE HAND GRIP STRENGTH IN TYPE 2 DIABETICS AND NON-DIABETICS

Parminder Kaur Sandhu Gill, Ravneet Sandhu*, Dimple, Anterpreet Kaur Arora**

Department of Physiology, Sri Guru Ram Das Institute of Medical Sciences and Research,

*Department of Sports Medicine and Physiotherapy, Guru Nanak Dev University, **Department of Anatomy, Sri Guru Ram Das Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Amritsar, Punjab, India

Background: The incidence and prevalence of diabetes is increasing in developing countries, many complications have been reported, and hand getting affected is one of them. There is decremental effect of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) on skeletal muscles. The aim of the present study was to assess the hand grip strength and compare it with age and sex matched apparently healthy non-diabetic subjects. **Methods:** This was a comparative cross-sectional study carried out in the Outpatient Department of Civil Hospital, Amritsar, India. The study included 100 clinically diagnosed diabetics (50 males, 50 females) of more than 50 years of age having T2DM for at least ten years and 100 age and sex matched apparently healthy non-diabetic controls. Hand Grip Strength (HGS) of all subjects in dominant hand was measured using Jamar Handheld Dynamometer. The independent *t*-test was used to analyse the difference in HGS between diabetic and the non-diabetic subjects. **Results:** There were significant differences in mean HGS between both male and female diabetics and non-diabetics (p < 0.001). There was significant difference in HGS of male and female subjects (diabetics and controls, p < 0.001). **Conclusion:** Long-standing T2DM seems to result in a decrease in HGS. This physical limitation may contribute to low productivity in people with T2DM.

Keywords: Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, T2DM, Handgrip strength, Muscle wasting, Myopathy Pak J Physiol 2015;11(3):32-4

INTRODUCTION

According to World Health Organization, diabetes globally affects approximately 347 million people and diabetes deaths will double between 2005 and 2030.¹ There is growing recognition that complications associated with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) may translate into functional impairment in older people.² Handgrip strength (HGS) is the maximal power of forceful voluntary flexion of all fingers under normal bio-kinetic conditions.³ There is significant reduction in grip strength in diabetic population.^{4,5} This may reflect a link between the metabolic and mechanical functions of the muscle.

There are wide variety of diabetic complications involving bones, joints, and peri-articular soft tissues. The upper extremity complication known as 'diabetic hand' include not only more specific diabetes related conditions such as limited joint mobility but also conditions related to the non-diabetic hand such as trigger finger, Dupuytren's disease etc.^{6,7} The development of musculoskeletal disorders is dependent on age and on the duration of diabetes mellitus.⁸ Nevertheless, there are a limited number of researches related to such problems.⁹

Little is known of the relationship between long duration T2DM and handgrip strength in the state of Punjab. This study was designed to compare the handgrip strength (HGS) of individuals with long standing T2DM with the HGS of apparently healthy age and sex matched counterparts.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was approved by the research and ethical committee of the institute. This cross-sectional study was carried out in the outpatient clinics of the Civil Hospital, Amritsar. The target population of this study consisted of type 2 diabetics in age group 50-60 years, with diabetes duration >10 years. One hundred subjects (50 males and 50 females) and 100 age and sex matched apparently healthy volunteers (fifty males and fifty females) were recruited for the study. Ninety percent participants were right handed. Participant with history of cervical spondylosis, carpal tunnel syndrome, peripheral nerve injury, or cervical radiculopathy during the previous 6 months were excluded from the study. All diabetic subjects were either on hypoglycaemic agents alone, or hypoglycaemic agents and a diabetes diet formula.

The subjects had no glucose intolerance, no history of pain and musculoskeletal problems in the shoulder, arm, or hand, no documented history of trauma or brachial plexus injury, peripheral nerve injury, cervical radiculopathy in the previous 6 months. None of the participants were involved in occupation that requires manual handling that can influence the handgrip.

Nature and rationale of the study was explained to the subjects, and written informed approval in vernacular language was obtained. Demographic information in the form of questionnaire was taken from each subject. Weight and height were recorded to calculate BMI. Age calculated in years to the nearest of 0.5 years. To measure height in centimetres subjects stood barefoot on the floor against the wall, with their heels slightly separated and their buttocks in contact with the wall. Their weights were measured in Kg with a subject standing on a portable weighing machine without wearing shoes. BMI was calculated using formula:

BMI (Kg/m²) = Wt (Kg)/Ht (m)²

Hand Grip strength of Dominant Hand was recorded using the Jamar Handheld Dynamometer. The subjects were seated in an armless chair with shoulders adducted and neutrally rotated, elbow flexed at 90 degrees, forearm in neutral position with the wrist between 0–30 degrees of dorsiflexion. A demonstration of maximum handgrip strength was given to each subject before they were asked to do it themselves. The participants were instructed to squeeze the handle as hard as possible.¹⁰ The period of the effort did not exceed 5 seconds. A period of 30 seconds rest was given between three trials for the dominant hand to be tested and the average of the three trials was taken.

RESULTS

Table-1 shows statistics of grip strength in dominant hand with selected anthropometric variables in diabetic and control males. Diabetic males had lower mean values for handgrip strength (20.76±3.55 Kg) than their control counterparts (32.90±7.60 Kg) and higher mean values in weight (79.74±7.86 Kg) and BMI (26.83±2.49 Kg/m²) than their control counterparts with weight 71.10±9.47 Kg) and BMI (23.59±2.74 Kg/m²) respectively. These differences were found to be statistically highly significant (p<0.001), weight (t=9.60), BMI (t=6.18), handgrip strength (t=10.23).

Table-2 shows descriptive statistics of grip strength in dominant hand with anthropometric variables in diabetic and control females. Diabetic females had lower mean values of handgrip strength (18.36 \pm 3.50 Kg) than their control counterparts (25.16 \pm 3.45 Kg) and this difference was statistically highly significant (p<0.001, t=9.79). Difference in other parameters was non-significant.

Table-3 shows statistics of grip strength in dominant hand with selected anthropometric variables in diabetic males and females. Diabetic females have lower mean values in height (156.72±5.91 Cm), weight (65.70±9.59 Kg), BMI (26.68±3.69 Kg/m²), handgrip strength (18.36±3.50 Kg) compared to diabetic males with height 172.92±5.78 Cm), weight 79.74±7.86 Kg), BMI (26.83±2.49 Kg/m^2), handgrip strength (20.76±3.55 Kg). The differences were statistically highly significant (p < 0.001) for height (t=13.85), weight (t=8.00), handgrip strength (t=3.40) while differences in BMI were non-significant.

Table-4 shows statistics of grip strength in dominant hand with selected anthropometric variables

in control males and females. Females had lower mean values in height (159.62 \pm 6.01 Cm), weight (67.22 \pm 10.05 Kg), and handgrip strength (25.16 \pm 3.45 Kg) compare to control males with height 173.46 \pm 5.74 Cm, weight 71.10 \pm 9.47 Kg, handgrip strength 32.90 \pm 7.60 Kg, while BMI of females (26.43 \pm 4.15 Kg/m²) was higher than males (23.59 \pm 2.74 Kg/m²). Statistically significant differences (*p*<0.001) were noted in height (*t*=11.77) BMI (*t*=4.03) and handgrip strength (*t*=6.55).

Table-1: Hand grip strength in dominant hand in diabetic and control males (n=50 each)

Parameters	Diabetics	Controls	t	р
Age (Year)	54.74±2.61	55.28±2.83	0.991	NS
Height (Cm)	172.92±5.78	173.46 ± 5.74	0.478	NS
Weight (Kg)	79.74±7.86	71.10±9.47	0.962	< 0.001*
BMI (Kg/m ²)	26.83±2.49	23.59±2.74	6.188	< 0.001*
Hand Grip Strength (Kg)	20.76±3.55	32.90±7.60	10.23	< 0.001*
*highly significant				

*highly significant

Table-2: Hand grip strength in dominant hand in diabetic and control females (n=50 each)

diabetic and control remarks (n=50 cach)				
Parameters	Diabetics	Controls	t	р
Age (Year)	54.90±2.85	54.62±2.55	0.52	NS
Height (Cm)	156.72±5.91	159.62 ± 6.01	2.43	< 0.017*
Weight (Kg)	65.70±9.59	67.22±10.05	0.77	NS
BMI (Kg/m ²)	26.68±3.69	26.43±4.15	0.32	NS
Hand Grip Strength (Kg)	18.36±3.50	25.16±3.45	9.79	< 0.001*
*highly significant				

*highly significant

Table-3: Hand grip strength in dominant hand in diabetic males and diabetic females (n=50 each)

Diabetic	Diabetic		1
males	females	t	р
54.74±2.61	54.90±2.85	0.29	NS
172.92 ± 5.78	156.72 ± 5.91	13.85	0.001*
79.74±7.86	65.70±9.59	8.00	0.001*
26.83±2.49	26.68±3.69	0.24	NS
20.76±3.55	18.36±3.50	3.40	0.001*
	54.74 ± 2.61 172.92 ± 5.78 79.74 ± 7.86 26.83 ± 2.49 20.76 ± 3.55	54.74±2.6154.90±2.85172.92±5.78156.72±5.9179.74±7.8665.70±9.5926.83±2.4926.68±3.69	54.74±2.61 54.90±2.85 0.29 172.92±5.78 156.72±5.91 13.85 79.74±7.86 65.70±9.59 8.00 26.83±2.49 26.68±3.69 0.24 20.76±3.55 18.36±3.50 3.40

Table-4: Hand Grip Strength in dominant hand with selected anthropometric variables in control males and control females (n=50 each)

and control temaks (in 50 cach)				
Control	Control			
males	females	t	р	
55.28±2.83	54.62±2.55	1.2	NS	
173.46 ± 5.74	159.62 ± 6.01	11.77	0.001*	
71.10±9.47	67.22±10.05	1.24	NS	
23.59±2.74	26.43±4.15	4.03	0.001*	
32.90±7.60	25.16±3.45	6.55	0.001*	
	Control males 55.28±2.83 173.46±5.74 71.10±9.47 23.59±2.74	Control males Control females 55.28±2.83 54.62±2.55 173.46±5.74 159.62±6.01 71.10±9.47 67.22±10.05 23.59±2.74 26.43±4.15	Control males Control females t 55.28±2.83 54.62±2.55 1.2 173.46±5.74 159.62±6.01 11.77 71.10±9.47 67.22±10.05 1.24 23.59±2.74 26.43±4.15 4.03	

*highly significant

DISCUSSION

Diabetes mellitus is usually associated with mild hand muscle weakness with peripheral sensory neuropathy.¹¹ The results of the current study revealed that there is a significant decrease of the handgrip strength in the diabetic patients compared with apparently healthy age and sex matched subjects. The grip strength test was commonly done to evaluate the performances of hand muscles by measuring the maximal grip force that could be executed in one muscular contraction.¹²

Similar conclusions were derived by Savas et al who noted significantly lower handgrip strength in diabetics than the non-diabetic controls (p < 0.05).¹³ Results of this study are also in close agreement with authors who stated that type 2 Diabetes Mellitus seems to result in a decrease in handgrip strength in both male and female subjects. This physical limitation may contribute to low productivity in people with type 2 Diabetes Mellitus.^{14,15} Some researchers have independently reported decreased handgrip strength in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus.16,17 Insulin resistance may have been responsible for the muscle weakness¹⁸ and, therefore, the decreased grip strength but loss of strength could also be due to age.¹¹

Handgrip strength of men were higher than women and this difference in handgrip strength values between diabetic men and women as well as control men and women could be attributed to physiological differences between them.^{20,21}

However, Andersen *et al*²² opposed this view insisting that grip strength is not compromised in long-standing diabetes type 2. These differences in the reports may be due to the lack of baseline record of grip strength in all studies, thereby making it impossible for the change in grip strength after the onset of diabetes to be determined.

CONCLUSION

Long standing type 2 diabetes mellitus is associated with poorer upper limb muscle strength and quality which may contribute to functional and physical limitation. Timely assessment of handgrip strength in diabetics can help in detection of disability and proper rehabilitation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors immensely appreciate the cooperation and help of the physicians at the Civil Hospital, Amritsar, and are grateful to all our study participants for their energetic involvement and cooperation during the study.

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization (WHO). Diabetes factsheet No. 312. Available from: http://www.who.int/medicentre/factsheets/ fs312/en/# [Accessed 2013 March]
- De Rekeneire N, Resnick HE, Schwartz AV, Shorr RI, Kuller LH, Simonsick EM, *et al.* Diabetes is associated with subclinical functional limitation in non disabled older individuals: the Health, Aging and Body composition study. Diabetes Care 2003;26:3257–63.
- Koley S, Gandhi M, Singh AP. Association of hand grip strength with height, weight and BMI in boys and girls aged 6–25 years of Amritsar, Punjab, India. Internet Journal of Biological Anthropology 2007;2(1):4.

- Centinus E, Buyukvese MA, Uzel M, Ekeerbicer H, Karaoguz. Hand grip strength in patients with type-2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2005;70:278–86.
- Sindhuri JC, Sanjay P. Comparative study to determine the hand grip strength in type 2 diabetes versus non diabetic individuals a cross sectional study. Indian J Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy 2013;7(1)243–6.
- Arkkila PET, Kantola IM, Viikari JSA, Ronnemaa T, Vahatala MA. Dupuytren disease in type I diabetic patients: five year prospective study. Clin Exp Rheumatol 1996;14:59–65.
- Cagliero T, Apruzzese W, Perlmutter CS, Nathan DM. Musculoskeletal disorders of the hand and shoulders in patients with diabetes mellitus. Am J Med 2002;112:487–90.
- Arkkila PET, Gautier JE. Musculoskeletal disorders in diabetes mellitus: an update. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2003;17(6):945–70.
- Badran M, Laher I. Type II Diabetes Mellitus in Arabic-Speaking Countries. Int J Endocrinol. 2012;2012:902873. doi: 10.1155/2012/902873. Epub 2012 Jul 18.
- Mathiowetz V, Weber K, Volland G, Kashman N. Reliability and validity of grip and pinch strength evaluations. J Hand Surg Am 1984;9(2):222–6.
- Redmond CL, Bain GI, Laslett LL, McNeil JD. Hand syndromes associated with diabetes: impairments and obesity predict disability. J Rheumatol 2009;36:2766–71.
- Infante JR, Rosenbloom AL, Silverstein JH, Garzarella L, Pollock BH. Changes in frequency and severity of limited joint mobility in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus between 1976– 78 and 1998. J Pediatr 2001;138:33–7.
- Savas S, Koroglu BK, Koyuncuoglu HR, Uzar E, Celik H, Tamer NM. The effects of the diabetes related soft tissue hand lesions and the reduced grip strength on functional disability of hand in type 2-diabetic patients. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2007;77(1):77–83.
- Ezema CI, Iwelu EV, Abaraogu UO, Olawale OA. Handgrip strength in individuals with long-standing type 2 diabetes mellitus: A preliminary report. African Journal of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation Sciences 2012;4(1–2):67–71.
- Park SW, Good Paster BH, Newman AB. Decreased muscle strength and quality in older adults with type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes 2005;28(3):283–8.
- Rantanen T, Guralnik JM, Foley D, Masaki K, Leveille S, Curb JD, *et al.* Midlife hand grip strength as a predictor of old age disability. JAMA 1999;281:558–60.
- Leveille SG, Fried LP, McMullen W, Guralnik JM. Advancing the taxonomy of disability in the older adults. J Gerontol 2004;59:86–93.
- Sayer AA, Dennison EM, Syddall HE, Gilbody HJ, Phillips DI, Cooper C. Type 2 diabetes, muscle strength, and impaired physical function: The tip of the iceberg? Diabetes Care 2005;28:2541–2.
- Helander I, Westerblad H, Katz A. Effects of glucose on contractile function, [Ca²⁺], and glycogen in isolated mouse skeletal muscle. Am J Cell Physiol 2002;282:1306–12.
- Kubota H, Demura S. Gender differences and laterality in maximal handgrip strength and controlled force exertion in young adults. Health 2011;3(11):684–8.
- Parvatikar VB, Mukannavar PB. Comparative study of grip strength in different positions of shoulder and elbow with wrist in neutral and extension positions. J Exer Sci Physiotherapy 2009;5(2):67–75.
- Anderson H, Gadeberg PC, Brock B, Jakobsen J. Muscular atrophy in diabetic neuropathy: A stereological magnetic resonance imaging study. Diabetologia 1997;40(9):1062–9.

Address for Correspondence:

Dr Parminder Kaur Sandhu, 1192 New Mohani Park, Opposite Khalsa College for Women, Amritsar-143001, India. **Tel:** +91-946 4751900

Email: sandhugillp@rediffmail.com