

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

RISK FACTORS OF PREMATURE RUPTURE OF MEMBRANES IN AYUB TEACHING HOSPITAL: A CASE CONTROL STUDY

Mahwish Toqueer, Mahnoor Javaid, Zainab Nazneen*, Khalid Ahmed, Hizbar Havauddin, Umair Toqueer

Students of Final Year MBBS, *Department of Community Medicine, Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad, Pakistan

Background: Premature rupture of membranes (PROM) is one of the important causes of preterm delivery and is associated with increased incidence of neonatal and maternal morbidity and mortality worldwide. The aim of this study was to find out the risk factors associated with PROM in patients admitted to Obstetrics Ward of Ayub Teaching Hospital, Abbottabad. Methods: This case control study was conducted on pregnant females (>28 weeks gestation) from 1st November, 2018 to 30th May, 2019. The sample size was 195 patients (130 controls, 65 cases in 2:1 ratio) collected through convenience sampling. Data was analysed using SPSS-16. Odds ratio with confidence interval was used to estimate the strength of association of PROM with its risk factors, and $p \le 0.05$ was considered significant. Results: The mean age of the patients was 25.48±3.65 years ranging between 19-38 years. Most of the patients belonged to the age group 26-35 years. Most women were illiterate, unemployed, and had poor socio-economic background. The most important risk factors of PROM were PROM in previous pregnancies (OR=3.978, CI=1.484–10.666, p=0.012), foul smelling vaginal discharge (OR=2.700, CI=1.197– 6.089, p=0.014), polyhydramnios (OR=2.5, CI=1.178-5.404, p=0.015), and vaginal bleeding (OR=2.486, CI=1.117-5.534, p=0.023). Conclusion: PROM in previous pregnancies, foul smelling vaginal discharge, vaginal bleeding, and polyhydramnios were found to increase the risk of PROM significantly.

Keywords: PROM, PPROM, pregnancy, risk factors, case control study Pak J Physiol 2022;18(1):44–7

INTRODUCTION

The PROM is defined as 'rupture of membranes at any time before the onset of uterine contractions'. It is one of the common complications of pregnancy and occurs in 8-10% of pregnancies approximately.² Premature rupture of membranes, especially preterm PROM (PPROM) is one of the important causes of preterm delivery and is associated with increased incidence of neonatal and maternal morbidity and mortality worldwide.³ PROM can be divided into preterm PROM and term PROM. Preterm PROM occurs after 28 weeks and before 37 weeks of gestation, while term PROM occurs between 37 up to 42 weeks of gestation.² Preterm PROM and term PROM can be further divided on the basis of time after the rupture of membrane into: Early PROM (after the rupture of foetal membranes less than 12 hours have passed) and prolonged PROM (twelve or more hours have passed after the rupture of foetal membranes).4,5

The prevalence of PROM is about 5–10% while PPROM occurs in 3% of all pregnancies approximately. PROM is responsible for complicating about 3% of all preterm pregnancies, 10% of term pregnancies, 11% of preterm deliveries, and is also responsible for causing 40–75% neonatal deaths in USA. 6–8 Prevalence of PROM in a study from Karachi was 2.7%. A research conducted in 2006 in Abbottabad found that preterm PROM occurred in 9.6% of total

pregnancies.⁶ To our knowledge no recent data about the prevalence and risk factors of PROM is available at the regional level. The risk factors of PROM are numerous, but localized or systemic infection and inflammation is one of the most important causative factors.¹⁰ The others include low socioeconomic status, inadequate prenatal care, inadequate nutrition during pregnancy, sexually transmitted infections, vaginal bleeding and smoking during pregnancy.¹¹ Previous preterm delivery and uterine distension (e.g., polyhydramnios) are also well known risk factors. Cerclage and amniocentesis are also risk factors of preterm PROM.¹²

The most common maternal complication of PROM is amniotic cavity infection. Other complications include endometritis, abruptio placentae, retained placenta and haemorrhage, sepsis and death though uncommon can still occur. Preterm PROM is one of the leading causes of preterm birth thus foetal complications such as respiratory distress, infection, necrotizing enterocolitis, brain bleeds, muscle dysfunction, pulmonary hypoplasia and death are associated with prematurity. 7,13

Most typical sign of PROM is leakage of clear fluid or bleeding from vagina without any contractions. Diagnosis is made through detailed history from the patient and by a sterile speculum examination of vagina and is confirmed when there is



pooling of amniotic fluid in the posterior vaginal fornix or its leakage from the cervical os. ^{2,14,15} However, 47% cases of PROM present with no visible symptoms. ¹⁵ Its management depends on the gestational age as well as other complicating factors although it is still controversial. ^{16,17} In case of preterm PROM the management mainly involves administration of antibiotics (reducing the risk of perinatal infection and increasing the latency period) and steroids (reducing perinatal morbidity and mortality), while in case of term PROM induction of labour is the course of action. ^{2,16}

The aim of this study was to determine the important risk factors associated with PROM especially in our setup at Ayub Teaching Hospital, Abbottabad.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This case control study was carried out from 1st November 2018 to 31st June 2019 on patients admitted to Obstetrics Unit of Ayub Teaching Hospital, Abbottabad after taking ethical approval. Study population comprised of admitted pregnant women with and without PROM beyond 28 weeks of gestation.

Using Open Epi online software Version 3 for sample size estimation for case control studies, the sample size was calculated as 189 rounded to 195, (65 cases and 130 controls). The case to control ratio was 1:2. The assumed 2-sided confidence interval was 95% and power of the study was 80%. For the purpose of hypothetical exposure value in controls for sample size calculation, abnormal discharge from vagina was used with a frequency of 36.8%, and assumed Odds ratio of 2.4 was taken from a previous study from Uganda. Toonvenience sampling technique was used for sample selection.

Pair matching was done by matching age of the cases with controls. For cases, only those patients were selected who were diagnosed to be a case of PROM including both early and prolonged PROM. For controls, all other pregnant women were selected who were of the same age as cases and who didn't have PROM, and were admitted for reasons other than PROM. Patients with multiple pregnancies, unwilling patients, those who did not know the local language, and patients in labour were excluded. The cases of PROM were diagnosed on the basis of speculum examination and history of leakage of clear fluid from vagina enough to wet the sides of thighs and perineum without any uterine contractions.

A pre-tested structured questionnaire that included bio data as well as various risk factors that predisposes to PROM was developed. Pilot testing of the questionnaire was done on 10 patients admitted in Obstetric Unit before collection of actual data. Informed consent was taken from all the subjects.

Data was analysed on SPSS-16. Mean and standard deviation for continuous variables and frequencies and percentages for categorical variables were determined. Chi-square test was used to determine association between PROM and its risk factors. Odds ratio with 95% confidence interval was used to measure strength of association between PROM and its risk factors. Confidence interval excluding 1 and $p \le 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 195 patients (65 cases and 130 controls) were interviewed. The overall mean age of the patients was 25.48±3.65 years (Range: 19–38 years). The mean age of the cases was 25.47±3.67 years while the mean age of the controls was 25.49±3.66 years. Majority of patients were in the age group 26–35 years, most of them were housewives, illiterate, and belonged to low socio-economic class. Presentation was cephalic in most of the patients (Table-1).

Table-1: Socio-demographic variables (n=195)

Table-1: Socio-demographic variables (II-173)							
Variables	Frequency	Percentage					
Age Groups (Years)							
16–25	83	42.6					
26–35	108	55.4					
36–45	4	2.1					
Area of Residence							
Rural	79	40.5					
Urban	81	41.5					
Semi-urban	35	17.9					
Occupational Status							
Professional	4	2.1					
Housewife	191	97.9					
Socioeconomic status							
Low	115	59.0					
Middle	67	34.4					
Upper	13	6.7					
Literacy level							
Illiterate	83	42.6					
Primary	47	24.1					
Secondary	47	24.1					
Graduate	18	9.2					
Presentation of Foetus							
Cephalic	158	81.0					
Breech	36	18.5					
Others	1	0.5					

PROM was found to be strongly associated with history of PROM in previous pregnancy, polyhydramnios, foul smelling discharge from vagina, and vaginal bleeding during current pregnancy. Odds ratio with 95% confidence interval for each risk factor found to be associated with PROM is given in Table-2.

History of intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR), urinary tract infection (UTI), McDonald's stitch in current pregnancy, presence of any systemic disease, previous Caesarean sections, and abortions were not found to be significant risk factors for developing PROM (Table-2).



Table-2	Rick	factors	for	PROM

Yes No	12 53 os in 17 48	% PRO 63.2 30.1	7 123	35) % 36.8 69.9	Odds ratio	95% CI	p				
Previous histor Yes No Polyhydramni Yes No	ry of 12 53 os in 17 48	63.2 30.1 curr	7 123	36.8		95% CI	p				
Yes No Polyhydramni Yes No	12 53 os in 17 48	63.2 30.1 curr	7 123								
No Polyhydramni Yes No	53 os in 17 48	30.1 curr	123								
Polyhydramnic Yes No	os in 17 48	curr		60.0	3.978	1.484–10.666	0.012*				
Yes No	17 48		ant n			1.464-10.000					
No	48	51.5	Polyhydramnios in current pregnancy								
		0 1.0	16	48.5	2.5	1.175-5.404	0.015*				
Foul smelling of		29.6	114	70.4	2.3						
	Foul smelling discharge during pregnancy										
Yes	15	53.6	13	46.4	2.7		0.014*				
No	50	29.9	117	70.1	2.7	1.19/-0.089					
Bleeding during pregnancy											
Yes	15	51.7	14	48.3	2.486	1.117-5.334	0.023*				
No	50	30.1	116	69.9	2.400						
Intrauterine G	row	th Re	tarda	tion							
Yes	5	50	5	50	2.08	0.58-7.4	0.25				
No	60	32.4	125	67.6							
Urinary Tract	Infe	ction									
Yes	20	35.1	37	64.9	1.11	0.58–2.1	0.73				
No	45	32.6	93	67.4	1.11						
McDonald's st	McDonald's stitch										
Yes	6	54.5	5	45.5	1.11	0.58-2.1	0.12				
No	59	32.1	125	67.9	1.11						
Systemic disea	se										
Yes	6	24	19	76	1.6	0.63-4.4	0.28				
	59	34.7	111	65.3	1.0	0.03-4.4					
Previous Caesa	area	n Sec	tion(s	3)							
	23	28.4	58	71.6	0.68	0.36–1.2	0.21				
No	42	36.8	72	63.2	0.00						
History of abou	rtion	ı(s)									
Yes	19	33.9	37	66.1	0.96	0.50-1.8	0.91				
No	46	33.1	93	66.9		0.30-1.8					

*significant

DISCUSSION

According to our study previous history of PROM, polyhydramnios, foul smelling vaginal discharge and vaginal bleeding during pregnancy were found to have significant association with PROM. In our study, majority (55.4%) of the women were in the age group 26–35 years. It is in agreement with a study done by Mishra S *et al*¹⁴. Dars *et al*¹¹ also showed that incidence of PROM was more among patients aged 20–30 years.

We observed a strong association between PROM and history of PROM in previous pregnancies. It is in line with the studies conducted by Al-Hussain TK et al¹⁸ and Lee T et al¹⁹. It may be due to untreated cervio-vagnial infection by bacterial vaginosis or Chlamydia, cervical incompetence, or short cervical length. 4,7,20 Patients with history of foul smelling discharge during pregnancy had 2.7 times increased risk of PROM. This is in line with Assefa NE et al⁷ and Choudhary M et al²⁰. Organisms causing infections of the genital tract that have been associated with PROM include Chlamydia trachomatis, Gardnerella vaginalis, Trichomonas vaginalis, Candidiasis etc. Abnormal vaginal discharge indicates infection that results in inflammation of the

membranes ultimately leading to their rupture. ^{20–22} Unhygienic practices of majority of the population makes it a significant risk factor in developing countries. ²²

In our study, another important risk factor associated with PROM was polyhydramnios. Our results match with results of the research conducted by Mishra S *et al*¹⁴, but are contradictory to the work of Assefa NE *et al*⁷. Over distension of the uterus due to increase in amniotic fluid volume increases the intrauterine pressure and thus increases the risk of PROM. ^{14,20}

Vaginal bleeding was also found to be a risk factor for PROM increasing the risk by 2.4 times. Chronic abruption of placenta may result in necrosis of decidua which weakens membranes or predisposes to intra-amniotic infection, eventually resulting in membrane rupture.²⁰ Hossain R *et al*²³ reported that bleeding specially in second trimester can lead to preterm labour and PROM.

The UTI was considered one of the main risk factors for PROM by Moore RM $et\ al^{2^4}$. In our study, however, it was not found to be strongly associated with PROM and the history of UTI was strong both in cases and controls which could be the reason of insignificance. This can be attributed to wide prevalence of UTI in females of reproductive age due to inability to maintain good hygienic conditions.

In this study, McDonald stitch was not found to be a significant risk factor which is contradictory to the study conducted by Choudary M *et al*²⁰. The reason may be fewer number of cerclage in cases and controls in this study.

Previous Caesarean section, IUGR, abortions, and any systemic illness did not have significant effect on PROM in this study. The frequency of Caesarean section was 28.4% in cases and was found not to be significant risk factor of PROM. This rate was 30.5% in a study conducted by Kayiga *et al*²⁵ which is quite similar to our results; however, it is low as compared to the work of Pasquier JC *et al*²⁶ in which the frequency of Caesarean section was 58.7%.

The frequency of abortion was 32.4% in cases while it was 67.6% in controls. Abortion was also not a significant risk factor for PROM in the study of Choudhary M *et al*²⁰; however, it contradicts the work done in Tigaray⁷. The reason may be comparable sample size of the studies.

History of both systemic illness and trauma were not significant risk factors in a research done by Assefa NE *et al*⁷ which supports our results.

Some limitations of this study were that matching of cases and controls was done with one variable only, i.e., age; and it was conducted in only one tertiary care hospital.



CONCLUSION

The most important risk factors in our setup found to be associated with PROM were previous history of PROM, foul smelling vaginal discharge, polyhydramnios, and vaginal bleeding. With the help of this knowledge, obstetricians will be able to pinpoint high risk patients and thus will be able to provide rapid and effective management. It will also help them to establish prevention protocols so as to decrease the burden of this disease in this area.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to acknowledge all Obs/Gynae Unit staff of Ayub Teaching Hospital for their help. We would also like to thank Mr. Sajid for his technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- Workineh Y, Birhanu S, Kerie S, Ayalew E, Yihune M. Determinants of premature rupture of membrane in Southern Ethiopia. BMC Res Notes 2018;11:927.
- Khan S, Khan AA. Study on preterm pre mature rupture of membrane with special reference to maternal and its fetal outcome. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2016;5(8):2768–74.
- Gibbs R, Karlan B, Haney A, Nygaard I, (Eds). Danforth's Obstetrics and Gynecology. 10th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: 2008.
- Caughey AB, Robinson JN, Norwitz ER. Contemporary diagnosis and management of preterm premature rupture of membranes. Rev Obstet Gynecol 2008;1(1):11–22.
- Riyami NA, Al-Ruheili I, Al-Shezawi F, Al-Khabori M. Extreme preterm premature rupture of membranes: Risk factors and feto maternal outcomes. Oman Med J 2013;28(2):108–11.
- Noor S, Nazar AF, Bashir R, Sultana R. Prevalence of PPROM and its outcome. J Ayub Med Col Abbottabad 2007;19(4):14–7.
- Assefa NE, Berhe H, Girma F, Berhe K, Berhe YZ, Gebreheat G, et al. Risk factors of premature rupture of membranes in public hospitals at Mekele city, Tigray, a case control study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2018;18(1):386.
- Segni H, Diriba TD, Ali E. Incidence, maternal and perinatal outcome of premature rupture of fetal membrane cases in Jimma University Teaching Hospital, South West Ethiopia. EC Gynaecol 2017;4(5):163–72.
- Alam MM, Saleem AF, Shaikh AS, Munir O, Qadir M. Neonatal sepsis following prolonged rupture of membranes in a tertiary care hospital in Karachi, Pakistan. J Infect Dev Ctries 2014;8(1):67–73.
- 10. Wang KC, Lee WL, Wang PH. Early and late preterm premature

- rupture of membranes. J Chin Med Assoc 2017;80(10):613-4.
- Dars S, Malik S, Samreen I, Kazi RA. Maternal morbidity and perinatal outcome in preterm premature rupture of membranes before 37 weeks gestation. Pak J Med Sci 2014;30(3):626–9.
- Medina TM, Hill DA. Preterm premature rupture of membranes: Diagnosis and management. Am Fam Physician 2006;73(4):659–64
- Beckmann CRB, Ling FW, Barzansky BM, Herbert WNP, Laube DW, Smith RP, (Eds). Obstetrics and Gynecology. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2010.
- Mishra S, Joshi M. Premature rupture of membrane –risk factors: a clinical study. Int J Contempor Med Res 2017;4(1):146–8.
- Neil PR, Wallace EM. Is Amnisure useful in the management of women with prelabour rupture of the membranes? Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 2010;50(6):534

 –8.
- 16. Practice bulletin No. 139. Obstet Gynecol 2013;122(4):918–30.
- Nakubulwa S, Kaye DK, Bwanga F, Tumwesigye NM, Mirembe FM. Genital infections and risk of premature rupture of membranes in Mulago Hospital, Uganda: a case control study. BMC Res Notes 2015;8:573.
- Al-Hussain TK, Mohamed SN, El-dien HA, Ahmed AAE. Cervicovaginal infection during pregnancy and its relation to preterm pre-labour rupture of membranes. J Am Sci 2012;8:364–73.
- Lee T, Carpenter MW, Heber WW, Silver HM. Preterm premature rupture of membranes: risks of recurrent complications in the next pregnancy among a population-based sample of gravid women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2003;188:209–13.
- Choudhary M , Rathore SB , Chowdhary J , Garg S. Pre and post conception risk factors in PROM. Int J Res Med Sci 2015;3(10):2594-8.
- Gahwagi MM, Busarira MO, Atia M. Premature rupture of membranes characteristics, determinants, and outcomes of in Benghazi, Libya. Open J Obstet Gynecol 2015; 5(9):494–504.
- Endale T, Fentahun N, Gemada D, Hussen MA. Maternal and fetal outcomes in term premature rupture of membrane. World J Emerg Med 2016;7(2):147–52.
- Hossain R, Harris T, Lohsoonthorn V, Williams MA. Risk of preterm delivery in relation to vaginal bleeding in early pregnancy. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2007;135(2):158–63.
- Moore RM, Mansour JM, Redline RW, Moore JJ. The physiology of fetal membrane rupture: insight gained from the determination of physical properties. Placenta 2006;27:1037–51.
- Kayiga H, Lester F, Amuge PM, Byamugisha J, Autry AM. Impact of mode of delivery in pregnancy outcomes in women with premature rupture of membranes after 28 weeks of gestation in a low resource setting: A prospective cohort study. PLoS One 2018;13(1):e0190388.
- Pasquier JC, Rabilloud M, Picaud JC, Ecochard R, Claris O, Gaucherand P, et al. A prospective population based study of 598 cases of PPROM between 24 and 34 weeks gestation: description, management and mortality (Dominos cohort). Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2005;121(2):164–70.

Address for Correspondence:

Dr Zainab Nazneen, Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad, Pakistan. **Cell:** +92-334-8992013

Email: zainabnazamc@gmail.com

Received: 20 Jun 2021 **Reviewed:** 27 Jan 2022 **Accepted:** 29 Jan 2022

Contribution of Authors:

MT: Data Collection and Manuscript Writing MJ: Data collection and literature search

ZN: Study design and data analysis

KA: Data collection and reference writing **HH:** Data collection and reference writing

UT: Literature search and data entry

Disclaimer: The work has not been presented or published anywhere

Conflict of interest: There was no conflict of interest

Funding: None to declare